In Situ Vertical Growth of Fe–Ni Layered Double-Hydroxide Arrays on Fe–Ni Alloy Foil: Interfacial Layer Enhanced Electrocatalyst with Small Overpotential for Oxygen Evolution Reaction
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ABSTRACT: Layer double hydroxide (LDH) has been widely applied to electrocatalysis, especially toward the oxygen evolution reaction (OER), owing to its flexible layered structure and multifunctionality. Herein, FeNi LDH nanosheet arrays are directly synthesized on various metal foils by a facile hydrothermal method. Compared with single Fe or Ni substrates, the obtained FeNi LDH/FeNi foil exhibited an ultrasmall onset overpotential of ∼90 mV, high catalytic activity (overpotential of 130 mV @ 10 mA/cm²), and durable stability in 0.1 M KOH electrolyte. We also demonstrate, by utilizing density functional theory calculations, that the growth of the hydroxide interfacial layer between LDH and FeNi foil makes the LDH possess more favorable adsorption to the OH intermediate during OER than the pure LDH. This reveals that the vertical FeNi LDH arrays on the FeNi alloy substrate are prone to be an efficient catalyst toward OER.

Electrochemical water splitting, which contains the anodic oxygen evolution reaction (OER) and cathodic hydrogen evolution reaction (HER), is attracting more and more attention as a promising technology to produce clean energy.1–3 Compared with HER, OER became the major challenge because of its sluggish kinetics and initiation at high potential (at least 1.23 V vs the reversible hydrogen electrode (RHE)).4 Therefore, it is essential to reduce the dynamic overpotential and improve the catalytic efficiency to identify active OER electrocatalysts.2,5 Currently, the most practical OER electrocatalysts are mainly noble metal-based IrO₂ and RuO₂. However, their widespread application is still a challenge constrained by the element scarcity and high cost.5,6 To this end, it is urgent to develop effective catalysts, earth-abundant metals, or inexpensive transition-metal-based oxides7,8 or hydroxides9,10 that can be employed to catalyze OER.11–14

Typically, ordered geometrically confined architectures with large surface area, uniform structure, and high porosity would have fascinating properties in electrocatalysis.15–19 Recently, LDH with a brucite-like layered crystal structure20–22 has been widely studied, owing to its increasingly broad applications in several fields, including catalysis and electrochemistry.20,23,24 It provides more active sites on the surface than traditional nanoparticles, which benefits from its macroporous nanosheet architecture.25–28
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For now, iron and nickel oxyhydroxide electrocatalysts with three-dimensional (3D) architecture were proved to be highly active catalysts in base media toward OER. The high surface area structure of FeNi LDH is usually synthesized by the hydrothermal method, and at the same time, the multiporous and interlayer architecture could provide more active sites for the intermediates. Lu et al. prepared 3D FeNi LDH on Ni foam, which showed an overpotential of ~230 mV in 0.1 M KOH. However, the electrical conductivity of LDH is unsatisfactory; therefore, many kinds of substrates were investigated to further improve the OER performances of the FeNi LDH, such as carbon nanotubes (CNTs) and graphene. Most substrates are freestanding particles, which tend to undergo severe aggregation during the preparation of the electrode, leading to great loss of the active sites. Furthermore, such aggregated FeNi LDH leaves poor contact with the conductive substrate for every single nanosheet, simultaneously avoiding a large portion of the interlayer transfer of electrons, which causes a larger overpotential. There are few reports on the in situ vertically grown 2D FeNi LDH arrays on foils, which exhibit both high accessible active sites as well as good contact between 2D FeNi LDH and the substrate. Recently, Wang et al. synthesized FeNi LDH nanosheets on NiCoO$_2$ nanowires which presented a small overpotential of 290 mV in 1 M KOH. However, most of the reported FeNi LDH still proceeds with large overpotential, and the mechanism of the improvement promoted by the substrate is still unanswered.

Herein, by adopting Fe, Ni, and bimetallic FeNi alloy foils as the substrates, FeNi LDH nanosheet arrays with a large number of active sites and enhanced electron conductivity between catalysts and the substrates were obtained. It is worth noting that the FeNi LDH on FeNi alloy foil showed outstanding OER activities with a largely reduced onset overpotential (the onset overpotential is determined by the tangent method; see the details in the Supporting Information) of ca. 1.32 V vs RHE, namely, an onset overpotential of 90 mV in 0.1 M KOH. Having a large number of active sites as well as good contact between 2D FeNi LDH and the substrate. The FeNi hydroxide hexagonal sheets on different foils had a thickness of approximately 15–25 nm and sizes ranging from about 400 to 650 nm (Figures S2 and S3). XRD patterns showed strong diffraction peaks of the substrates, and the broad diffraction peaks at the region of 15° and 22° indicated the hydroxide of Ni and Fe, which matched well with the typical pattern of LDH materials reported in previous work. The HAADF-STEM image and STEM-EDX elemental images of the FeNi LDH sheets on foils (Figure 2e–g) showed that the Fe, Ni, and O elements were uniformly distributed on the LDH architectures, which demonstrated the formation of the hydroxides of iron and nickel.

In order to exclude the influence of the different substrates, the bonding states of Fe, Ni, and O were further analyzed by XPS (Figures 3 and S5). The binding energy peaks of Fe 2p$_{3/2}$ on FeNi foil, 712.2 eV (on Fe foil), and 711.8 eV (on Ni foil) showed strong diffraction peaks of the substrates, and the broad diffraction peaks at the region of 15° and 22° indicated the hydroxide of Ni and Fe, which matched well with the typical pattern of LDH materials reported in previous work. The Ni 2p$_{3/2}$ peaks located at 855.6 eV (on Ni foil), 856.7 eV (on Fe foil), and 855.3 eV (on Ni foil) indicated the formation of Ni$^{2+}$ oxidation states (Figure S5–i).

Herein, the OER performances of the as-prepared FeNi LDH nanosheets on different foils were evaluated in a conventional three-electrode electrochemical setup in O$_2$-saturated 0.1 M KOH electrolyte at 25 °C. The i-R corrected CV curve of FeNi LDH on FeNi foil (Figure 4a) revealed the redox couple from Ni(II) to Ni(III) at 1.25 V vs RHE. The positive (oxidation) current at potentials greater than 1.35 V vs RHE was ascribed to OER.

During the reaction process, ureda decomposed into ammonia and carbonate, which served as the intercalated anion. CO$_3^{2-}$ generated by the decomposition of the urea is inclined to adsorb on the (001) surface, passivating this plane. As a result, the growth of planes along the [001] direction is suppressed. Therefore, the FeNi LDH crystals are stacked in the direction vertical to the substrate surface.

The vertical 2D FeNi LDH nanosheet arrays on different metal foils are shown in Figure 2. After the reaction, the pale brown films could be observed on the surface of three substrates (Figure S1). Apparently, the well-defined hexagon-like nanosheets grew vertically on each foil directly, forming a uniform and porous FeNi LDH layer (Figure 2a–c). The morphologies of the grown FeNi LDH on different substrates were similar, while the sizes showed a slight difference between them. The FeNi hydroxide hexagonal sheets on different foils had a thickness of approximately 15–25 nm and sizes ranging from about 400 to 650 nm (Figures S2 and S3). XRD patterns showed strong diffraction peaks of the substrates, and the broad diffraction peaks at the region of 15° and 22° indicated the hydroxide of Ni and Fe, which matched well with the typical pattern of LDH materials reported in previous work. The HAADF-STEM image and STEM-EDX elemental images of the FeNi LDH sheets on foils (Figure 2e–g) showed that the Fe, Ni, and O elements were uniformly distributed on the LDH architectures, which demonstrated the formation of the hydroxides of iron and nickel.

In order to exclude the influence of the different substrates, the bonding states of Fe, Ni, and O were further analyzed by XPS (Figures 3 and S5). The binding energy peaks of Fe 2p$_{3/2}$ on FeNi foil, 712.2 eV (on Fe foil), and 711.8 eV (on Ni foil) showed strong diffraction peaks of the substrates, and the broad diffraction peaks at the region of 15° and 22° indicated the hydroxide of Ni and Fe, which matched well with the typical pattern of LDH materials reported in previous work. The Ni 2p$_{3/2}$ peaks located at 855.6 eV (on Ni foil), 856.7 eV (on Fe foil), and 855.3 eV (on Ni foil) indicated the formation of Ni$^{2+}$ oxidation states (Figure S5–i).

Herein, the OER performances of the as-prepared FeNi LDH nanosheets on different foils were evaluated in a conventional three-electrode electrochemical setup in O$_2$-saturated 0.1 M KOH electrolyte at 25 °C. The i-R corrected CV curve of FeNi LDH on FeNi foil (Figure 4a) revealed the redox couple from Ni(II) to Ni(III) at 1.25 V vs RHE. The positive (oxidation) current at potentials greater than 1.35 V vs RHE was ascribed to OER. The redox couple in the LDH on Fe and Ni foils occurred at a higher potential than the FeNi LDH sheet. The FeNi LDH sheet/FeNi exhibited excellent OER performance compared with the FeNi LDH sheets on the other two substrates (Figures 4a and S6a). The FeNi LDH sheet/FeNi showed the lowest reported onset overpotential of 90 mV and the smallest overpotential of 130 mV at 10 mA/cm$^2$ in 0.1 M KOH to date (Tables S1 and S2), which were much lower than...
that of the FeNi LDH sheet/Fe, FeNi LDH sheet/Ni, and IrO₂ (Figure S7). The overpotentials of them were 210 mV/390 mV, 220 mV/410 mV (inset of Figure 4a and magnification image in Figure S8), and 270 mV/390 mV, respectively. The loading amounts of the catalysts on foils were shown in Table S2. The loading mass of the FeNi LDH sheet/FeNi was 0.1 mg/cm², which showed better activity with less loading than those of the LDH on Fe (0.15 mg/cm²), Ni foils (0.1 mg/cm²), and the commercial IrO₂ (0.2 mg/cm²). It is notable that the bare FeNi alloy foil exhibited an onset potential of 1.43 V vs RHE (Figure S6b). The activity was also normalized based on the intrinsic metrics, including both mass activity (A/g) and turnover frequency (TOF) in Figure 4 and Table S3. The FeNi LDH sheet/FeNi showed excellent performance of 101 A/g at 130 mV and 538 A/g at 250 mV compared to that of the other two electrodes (Figure 4b). A total-metal TOF (TOFₘₑₙ), which is essentially an average TOF for all the metals in LDH sheets, was measured according to the previous

Figure 2. SEM characterization of the catalyst electrodes FeNi LDH sheets on (a) FeNi alloy foil, (b) Fe foil, and (c) Ni foil. (d) XRD patterns of FeNi LDH on Fe, Ni, and FeNi foils. HAADF-STEM image and STEM-EDX elemental maps of FeNi LDH sheets on (e) FeNi foil, (f) Fe foil, and (g) Ni foil.
The total number of metal sites was obtained from the inductively coupled plasma optical (ICP) measurement (Table S4). The TOF\textsubscript{tm} values of FeNi LDH on different foils at 250 mV were 0.072 s\textsuperscript{-1} (FeNi foil), 0.0036 s\textsuperscript{-1} (Fe foil), and 0.0016 s\textsuperscript{-1} (Ni foil) (Figure 4c and Table S3). Figure 4d displays the Tafel plots of FeNi LDH catalysts which were derived from the i-R corrected polarization curves with the scan rate of 1 mV/s (Figure S6) to gain more insight into OER activity of the FeNi LDH on different foils. The FeNi LDH sheet/FeNi foil performed the lowest Tafel slope of 39.8 mV·dec\textsuperscript{-1} compared with those on Fe foil (54.8 mV·dec\textsuperscript{-1}) and Ni foil (57.6 mV·dec\textsuperscript{-1}), which further indicated its highest OER activity among the three samples. Nyquist plots results (Figures 4e and S9) demonstrated that all these catalysts possessed small charge-transfer resistance, among which the bare FeNi alloy foil indeed showed the smallest resistance, indicating the fastest electron-transfer process on this FeNi alloy substrate (Table S5). The double-layer capacitances, C\textsubscript{dl} for the three electrodes were determined by the cyclic voltammetry (CV) measurements performed at various scan rates (Figure S10). The calculated value of double-layer capacitance for FeNi LDH/FeNi was 1665 μF/cm\textsuperscript{2}, which was much higher than that of FeNi LDH/Fe (79.2 μF/cm\textsuperscript{2}) and FeNi LDH/Ni (200 μF/cm\textsuperscript{2}). Because the C\textsubscript{dl} is proportional to the surface area and the conductivity of the materials, more active sites on FeNi LDH/FeNi are also responsible for excellent OER activity.\textsuperscript{46,52} In this case, the double-layer capacitances of the bare foils were also performed in Figure S11. The bare FeNi foil showed double-layer capacitance (732.4 μF/cm\textsuperscript{2}) that was much higher than that of the other bare electrodes (Ni foil, 109.8 μF/cm\textsuperscript{2}; Fe foil, 52.8 μF/cm\textsuperscript{2}), which demonstrated that the FeNi substrate made an indispensable contribution to the excellent capacitance properties of FeNi LDH/FeNi catalyst. Furthermore, in order to analyze the OH diffusion coefficient (D\textsubscript{OH}), CV curves of all the samples at various scan rates are displayed in Figure S12a–c. The D\textsubscript{OH} of the electrode could be evaluated from the slope of the plots referred to the Sevick equation\textsuperscript{53} (see the analytical details in the Supporting Information). It is noteworthy the slopes (K) of the cathodic peak current (I\textsubscript{p}) versus v\textsuperscript{1/2} are in the order K\textsubscript{FeNi} > K\textsubscript{Fe} > K\textsubscript{Ni} (Figure S12d). Therefore, the order of the D\textsubscript{OH} of the samples from the highest to the lowest is D\textsubscript{FeNi} > D\textsubscript{Fe} > D\textsubscript{Ni}. The increase of D\textsubscript{OH} means that the sample has a short ion diffusion path. It also indicates that the active sites in FeNi LDH have many more opportunities to interact with the electrolyte...
solution, which in turn will favor faster ionic OH transport, accelerating the electrode reaction during the OER process.\textsuperscript{53–55} In conclusion, the obtained $D_{\text{OH}}$ of FeNi LDH/FeNi was the highest compared with those on Fe and Ni substrates, which also contributed to the excellent OER performance. The durability measurements of the FeNi LDH sheets on different foils proved that all of these FeNi LDH sheets on FeNi, Fe, and Ni foils had good stability; even so, the LDH on FeNi foil showed higher current density than those on Fe or Ni foil (Figure 4f). TEM images (Figure S13a–c) of the FeNi LDH sheets on different foils after stability testing were in good accordance with the observation of FeNi LDH sheets before the measurements. The XRD pattern results (Figure S13d–f) after the testing also indicated the same peaks of the materials as the patterns before the stability test. The SEM characterization revealed that the surface structure of FeNi LDH/FeNi was essentially retained after OER stability testing for 10 h (Figure S14a,b), while the 3D structure of nanosheet arrays on Fe and Ni foils were destroyed and collapsed after the stability test observed from Figure S14c–f, suggesting the outstanding morphology stability of FeNi LDH sheets on FeNi foil in OER.

Compared with the FeNi LDH on Fe foil and Ni foil (Figure 4a), the OER performance of LDH on FeNi substrate was increased sharply with an extremely small onset potential of 1.32 V vs RHE. The bare FeNi foil has an onset potential of 1.43 V vs RHE (Figure S6) with an overpotential of 200 mV, which is smaller than that of Fe (420 mV) or Ni (400 mV) foils because of its excellent conductivity and synergistic effect of the alloy elements of Fe and Ni,\textsuperscript{56,57} which also enhanced...
the OER performance after the in situ growth of the FeNi LDH. In addition, we found that a FeNi hydroxide interfacial layer was generated on the FeNi alloy foil during the growth of LDH on the FeNi foil (Figure S15). After scraping off the FeNi LDH sheets, the XRD pattern of the interfacial hydroxide layer on FeNi foil (Figure S16) revealed that its corresponding crystal phase was almost similar to the FeNi LDH sheets (Figure 2d), which matched well with the typical pattern (PDF 51-0463) of FeNi LDH materials reported in previous works.48 The element composition of the FeNi LDH identified by XPS was 4:1 (Ni:Fe), while the ratio of the FeNi hydroxide interfacial layer on the FeNi foil was 1:3 (Ni:Fe) (Table S6). To sum up, the FeNi LDH sheets and the interfacial hydroxide layer on FeNi foil have the same crystal structure but different compositions. Therefore, the excellent OER performance of the FeNi LDH on FeNi substrate can be attributed to the zero misfit between the FeNi hydroxide interfacial layer and LDH sheets with good cooperativity, which helps to increase the electron transfer at the interface between the self-supported catalysts layer and the supporting electrode.

For comparison, we further characterized the interlayers on the Ni and Fe foils (Figures S17–S20). The SEM analysis showed the interlayer on the Ni foil, and no crystalline structures were found (Figure S17a). The EDX results (Figure S17b–e) showed that Ni distributed uniformly on the Ni foil, corresponding to the XRD patterns of Ni, which show only the

Figure 5. Adsorption sites of OH on (a) FeNi LDH and (b) FeNi LDH with hydroxide interfacial layer. (c) Comparison of adsorption energies for OH on FeNi LDH and FeNi LDH with hydroxide interfacial layer. (d) Comparison of d band center ($\epsilon_d$) and d band density of Ni atom from stable adsorption site in FeNi LDH and FeNi LDH with hydroxide interfacial layer slabs. Note: $E-E_f$ indicates energy referenced Fermi level. (e) Schematic illustration of the OER process on FeNi LDH with hydroxide interfacial layer supported on FeNi alloy foil.
Ni phase but no Ni hydroxide (Figure S18). On the Fe foil, the Fe and O elements dispersed uniformly on the surface (Figure S19), consistent with the formation of FeOOH based on the XRD analysis (Figure S20).38,39 These results indicated that it is difficult to form the FeNi hydroxide interfacial layer on both Ni and Fe foils.

To reveal the effect of the FeNi hydroxide interfacial layer between FeNi LDH and FeNi alloy foil on the enhanced OER performance, DFT calculations were carried out on the base of the FeNi LDH model (Figure 5a) and the FeNi LDH with hydroxide interfacial layer model (Figure 5b). The calculated adsorption energy of OH on the stable adsorption site among various possible adsorption sites (Figure 5a,b) revealed that OH was prone to adsorb on the surface of FeNi LDH with hydroxide interfacial layer with a OH adsorption energy of −3.184 eV, which is lower than the OH adsorption energy on the pure FeNi LDH (−1.859 eV), as displayed in Figure 5c. We further calculated the number of atomic charge differences (Δq) of surface metal atoms before and after OH adsorption on the surface of the pure FeNi LDH and FeNi LDH with hydroxide interfacial layer, respectively, using Bader charge analysis60 as shown in Tables S9 and S10. The changes of charge populations for surface metal atoms upon OH adsorption are larger in FeNi LDH with hydroxide interfacial layer compared to that for the pure FeNi LDH. To further investigate the interfacial interactions, the d band centers (εd) and projected d band densities (Figure 5d) of Ni atom from the stable adsorption site in the pure FeNi LDH and FeNi LDH with hydroxide interfacial layer slabs were determined, respectively. Figure 5d showed that the εd of Ni in the pure FeNi LDH was lower than εd of Ni in FeNi LDH with hydroxide interfacial layer slabs. The relatively upper position of the εd toward Ni in FeNi LDH with hydroxide interfacial layer slabs gives rise to the increasing number of empty antibonding state and then a stronger bond between adsorbate and surface.61 Therefore, OH has a stronger tendency to adsorb on the surface of FeNi LDH with hydroxide interfacial layer than the pure FeNi LDH because of the interfacial interaction mainly including the modification effect of electron transfer and d band center shifting. Considering that the first release of a proton from an adsorbed water molecule, leaving an adsorbed OH, was the rate-determining step of the OER process,12,62,63 the Gibbs free energies of the corresponding intermediates decreased efficiently because of the increased OH adsorption, facilitating the dissociation of the O–H bond of the H2O. Accordingly, the synergistically enhanced interplay between FeNi LDH and hydroxide interfacial layer produced a favorable local coordination environment and electronic structure to adsorb OH intermediates, eventually promoting the OER process.24

The schematics showed that OH− from the electrolyte adsorbed on the active catalyst site on the LDH and then reacted with other dissociative OH− to form a reaction intermediate (recombination), which is then further oxidized to O2 (Figure 5e). In FeNi LDH sheet structures, the increased charge of Fe3+ relative to Ni2+ requires the compensation of additional anions like OH− between the sheets, which causes the larger intersheet spacing compared to the hydroxide of single metal like Ni(OH)2.2363 Consequently, the increased intersheet spacing would facilitate the transport of OH−/O2 through the layer.66 Therefore, these vertically grown FeNi LDH nanosheet arrays not only create many nanoscale channels for the reaction intermediates to access the most active sites on the surface of 2D sheets but also ensure the direct contact of each individual 2D sheet with the FeNi substrate and largely promote the intralayer electron transfer, both of which avoid the interlayer electron transfer and therefore dramatically improve the electron transfer as well as the catalytic activity.25–28 Furthermore, the synergistic effect between FeNi LDH and the FeNi hydroxide layer modified a favorable local chemical environment and a proper electronic structure to adsorb OH intermediates for boosting the OER process.

In summary, the well-defined FeNi LDH nanosheet arrays with microporous architecture are grown vertically in situ on the Fe, Ni, and FeNi alloy foils, respectively. The obtained FeNi LDH 2D sheet arrays on FeNi alloy foil exhibited an onset overpotential of 90 mV with enhanced catalytic activity (130 mV at 10 mA/cm2) (Figure S21) and stable durability in alkaline 0.1 M KOH electrolyte. This unprecedented small onset overpotential of 90 mV is mainly due to the following three points: (1) The in situ vertically grown 2D sheets architecture optimizes the access of active sites for OER. (2) The contact between the side surface of FeNi LDH sheets and the substrate improves the intralayer electron and ion transfer with the highest OH diffusion coefficient (D_{OH}). (3) DFT calculation proves that the synergistic enhanced interaction between FeNi LDH and the interfacial hydroxide layer produces a more inclination to adsorb OH and the OER intermediates, eventually promoting the OER process. The FeNi LDH arrays on FeNi foil and the bare FeNi foil both had small onset potential (1.32 V vs RHE and 1.43 V vs RHE), indicating that the FeNi alloy substrate was desirable for FeNi LDH-based OER catalysts, which should be widely studied in the future.
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